

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

PPLICATION NO.	Fil	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/849,978	C	05/20/2004	Kcizo Kova	3211.1004-021 8629	
21005	7590	12/23/2004		EXAMINER.	
HAMILTO 530 VIRGIN		K, SMITH & RE	COVINGTON, RAYMOND K		
P.O. BOX 9		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CONCORD,	MA 017	742-9133	1625		

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/849,978	KOVA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	-	Raymond Covington	1625				
The MAILING DATE o	f this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	I				
Period for Reply			•				
THE MAILING DATE OF TH - Extensions of time may be available to after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the period for reply specified above of NO period for reply is specified above Failure to reply within the set or extension.	IIS COMMUNICATION. Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 Index and this communication. Is less than thirty (30) days, a reply It, the maximum statutory period we It does not be seen that the sailing than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO date of this communication, even if timely in the second seco	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. S 133)				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on 20 M	av 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application i	/_						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim 5)☐ Claim(s) is/are re 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are re 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are	(s) is/are withdrav allowed. jected.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is obj	ected to by the Examine	•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Applicant may not reques							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration	is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies 2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the ce application from	None of: Of the priority documents of the priority documents rtified copies of the prior the International Bureau	have been received in Applicate the have been receity documents have been rece	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson 	892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	ny (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Disclosure Statement(Paper No(s)/Mail Date 			Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/849,978

Art Unit: 1625

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-26 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-56 of copending Application No. 10/244,088. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Both applications teach compounds of the formula:

$$Z_1$$
 Z_2
 NR_1R_2
 R_3

Having the same substituent substitutions and use. See, for example claim 1. No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Covington whose telephone number is (571) 272-0681. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, C. Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raymond Covington Examiner Art Unit 1625

/e RKC

Cecilia J. Tsang
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600